

1

2

3

4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5

6

JEREMIAH JOSEPH JOHNSON,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
JIM ROBERTSON, et al.,  
Defendants.

7

8

9

10

11

Case No. [20-cv-04803-EMC](#)

**ORDER OF SERVICE**

Docket No. 13

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff filed this *pro se* prisoner's civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to complain about conditions at Pelican Bay State Prison. The Court reviewed the complaint, found that it stated an excessive force claim against four defendants (Maldonado, Maylin, Love, and Powers), but did not state a claim regarding a strip search of plaintiff and did not state a claim against defendant Robertson or defendant Gutierrez. *See* Docket No. 10. Leave to amend was granted so that Plaintiff could file an amended complaint to cure various pleading deficiencies. Plaintiff then filed an amended complaint that re-alleged his excessive force claim against three defendants that the Court previously found cognizable (*see* Docket No. 10 at 2), does not make any allegations against defendant Love, does not allege any wrongdoing with regard to a strip search, and deletes Robertson and Gutierrez as defendants. The action will now go forward against the three defendants who allegedly used excessive force on Plaintiff on October 3, 2019. Accordingly,

1. The amended complaint, liberally construed, states a cognizable § 1983 claim against correctional sergeant M.A. Powers, correctional officer (C/O) S.A. Maldonado, and C/O A.G. Maylin for an Eighth Amendment violation based on their use of excessive force. All other claims and defendants are dismissed.

1           2. The following defendants, all of whom apparently work at Pelican Bay State  
2           Prison, shall be served:

3           - correctional sergeant M.A. Powers  
4           - C/O S.A. Maldonado  
4           - C/O A.G. Maylin

5           Service on the listed Defendant shall proceed under the California Department of Corrections and  
6           Rehabilitation's (CDCR) e-service program for civil rights cases from prisoners in CDCR custody.  
7           In accordance with the program, the clerk is directed to serve on CDCR via email the following  
8           documents: the operative amended complaint (Docket No. 13), the order of dismissal with leave to  
9           amend (Docket No. 10), this order, a CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver form, and a summons.  
10           The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on the plaintiff.

11           3. No later than 40 days after service of this order via email on CDCR, CDCR shall  
12           provide the court a completed CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver advising the court which  
13           defendant(s) listed in this order will be waiving service of process without the need for service by  
14           the United States Marshal Service (USMS) and which defendant(s) decline to waive service or  
15           could not be reached. CDCR also shall provide a copy of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver  
16           to the California Attorney General's Office which, within 21 days, shall file with the court a  
17           waiver of service of process for the defendant(s) who are waiving service.

18           4. Upon receipt of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver, the clerk shall prepare for  
19           each defendant who has not waived service according to the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver a  
20           USM-205 Form. The clerk shall provide to the USMS the completed USM-205 forms and copies  
21           of this order, the summons and the operative complaint for service upon each defendant who has  
22           not waived service. The clerk also shall provide to the USMS a copy of the CDCR Report of E-  
23           Service Waiver.

24           5. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the following briefing schedule for  
25           dispositive motions is set:

26           a. No later than **August 12, 2021**, Defendants must file and serve a motion for  
27           summary judgment or other dispositive motion. If Defendants are of the opinion that this case  
28           cannot be resolved by summary judgment, Defendants must so inform the Court prior to the date

1 the motion is due. If Defendants file a motion for summary judgment, Defendants must provide to  
2 Plaintiff a new *Rand* notice regarding summary judgment procedures at the time they file such a  
3 motion. *See Woods v. Carey*, 684 F.3d 934, 939 (9th Cir. 2012).

4 b. Plaintiff's opposition to the summary judgment or other dispositive motion  
5 must be filed with the Court and served upon Defendants no later than **September 14, 2021**.  
6 Plaintiff must bear in mind the notice and warning regarding summary judgment provided later in  
7 this order as he prepares his opposition to any motion for summary judgment.

8 c. If Defendants wish to file a reply brief, the reply brief must be filed and  
9 served no later than **September 28, 2021**.

10 6. Plaintiff is provided the following notices and warnings about the procedures for  
11 motions for summary judgment:

12 The defendants may make a motion for summary judgment by  
13 which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for  
14 summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil  
15 Procedure will, if granted, end your case. . . . Rule 56 tells you what  
16 you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment.  
17 Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no  
18 genuine issue of material fact -- that is, if there is no real dispute  
19 about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party  
20 who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter  
21 of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing  
22 makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by  
declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on  
what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in  
declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or  
authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict  
the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and  
show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do  
not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if  
appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is  
granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. *Rand*  
*v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998).

23 If Defendants file a motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust administrative remedies,  
24 it is seeking to have the case dismissed. As with other defense summary judgment motions, if a  
25 motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust administrative remedies is granted, Plaintiff's  
26 case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

27 7. All communications by Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendant's  
28 counsel by mailing a true copy of the document to Defendant's counsel. The Court may disregard

1 any document which a party files but fails to send a copy of to his opponent. Until a defendant's  
2 counsel has been designated, Plaintiff may mail a true copy of the document directly to the  
3 defendant, but once a defendant is represented by counsel, all documents must be mailed to  
4 counsel rather than directly to the party.

5       8.      Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.  
6 No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16 is required  
7 before the parties may conduct discovery.

8       9.      Plaintiff is responsible for prosecuting this case. Plaintiff must promptly keep the  
9 Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely  
10 fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant  
11 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

12      10.     Plaintiff is cautioned that he must include the case name and case number for this  
13 case on any document he submits to the Court for consideration in this case.

14  
15           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16  
17           Dated: June 28, 2021

18  
19  
20             
21           EDWARD M. CHEN  
22           United States District Judge